arXiv:1412.4942v1 [hep-th] 16 Dec 2014

RSOS Quantum Chains Associated with Off-Critical Minimal Models and $\mathbb{Z}_n$ Parafermions

Davide Bianchini*, Elisa Ercolessi†, Paul A. Pearce‡, Francesco Ravanini†

*Department of Mathematics, City University London
Northampton Square, EC1V 0HB, UK

†Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Bologna and
I.N.F.N. Sezione di Bologna, Via Irnerio 46, 40126, Bologna, Italy

‡Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Melbourne
Parkville, Victoria 3010, Australia

Davide.Bianchini.1@city.ac.uk Elisa.Ercolessi@bo.infn.it
P.Pearce@ms.unimelb.edu.au Francesco.Ravanini@bo.infn.it

Abstract

We consider the $\varphi_{1,3}$ off-critical perturbation $\mathcal{M}(m,m';t)$ of the general non-unitary minimal models where $2 \le m \le m'$ and m, m' are coprime and t measures the departure from criticality corresponding to the $\varphi_{1,3}$ integrable perturbation. We view these models as the continuum scaling limit in the ferromagnetic Regime III of the Forrester-Baxter Restricted Solid-On-Solid (RSOS) models on the square lattice. We also consider the RSOS models in the antiferromagnetic Regime II related in the continuum scaling limit to $\mathbb{Z}_n$ parfermions with $n = m' - 2$. Using an elliptic Yang-Baxter algebra of planar tiles encoding the allowed face configurations, we obtain the Hamiltonians of the associated quantum chains defined as the logarithmic derivative of the transfer matrices with periodic boundary conditions. The transfer matrices and Hamiltonians act on a vector space of paths on the $A_{m'-1}$ Dynkin diagram whose dimension is counted by generalized Fibonacci numbers.

Contents

1 Introduction **3**

2 T_2 RSOS Quantum Chains **3**
- 2.1 Off-critical golden and $\mathbb{Z}_3$ parafermionic chains . . . 3
- 2.2 Critical golden and $\mathbb{Z}_3$ parafermionic chains . . . 7
- 2.3 Off-critical Yang-Lee chain . . . 7
- 2.4 Critical Yang-Lee chain . . . 8

3 Forrester-Baxter RSOS Models **8**
- 3.1 Face weights, face operators and tiles . . . 8
- 3.2 Inversion relation and YBE . . . 10
- 3.3 Periodic row transfer matrices . . . 11

4 $A_{m'-1}$ RSOS Quantum Hamiltonians **11**
- 4.1 Off-critical RSOS quantum Hamiltonians . . . 11
- 4.2 Critical RSOS quantum chains . . . 12

5 Conclusion **12**

A Elliptic Functions **14**

B RSOS Elementary Tile Identities **14**
- B.1 Inversion relation tile identities . . . 14
- B.2 YBE tile identities . . . 15
- B.3 Algebraic form of tile identities . . . 16

1 Introduction

Since the pioneering work of Baxter [1, 2] on commuting transfer matrices, it is well established that classical two-dimensional Yang-Baxter integrable statistical lattice models [3] are deeply related to one-dimensional integrable quantum systems. Specifically, a one-dimensional quantum Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ is obtained by taking the logarithmic derivative of the commuting transfer matrices $\boldsymbol{T}(u)$ in the limit $u \to 0$. This Hamiltonian limit applies to many interesting examples of integrable two-dimensional models with different symmetries, both at criticality and off-criticality, giving rise to various integrable one-dimensional quantum spin chains. Notable off-critical examples include the two-dimensional Ising model [4] and XY spin chain [5], two-dimensional $\mathbb{Z}_n$ symmetric systems [6, 7] and their associated $\mathbb{Z}_n$ spin chains [8], as well as the 8-vertex model and associated XYZ quantum spin chain considered by Baxter [1, 2].

The XY, XYZ and $\mathbb{Z}_n$ spin chains all have the property that the vector space of states $\mathcal{V} = \otimes^N \mathbb{C}^d$ factorizes over the sites, where $d = 2$ or n is the number of states per site. There are other interesting classes of quantum Hamiltonians, however, for which the space of states $\mathcal{V}$ does not factorize over the sites. In particular, the Restricted-Solid-On-Solid (RSOS) lattice models feature restrictions between neighbouring sites that are encoded by path spaces [9] defined on Dynkin diagrams. At criticality, these lattice models are described [10] by the RSOS or height representation of the Temperley-Lieb algebra [11] and give rise to the Temperley-Lieb quantum chain in the Hamiltonian limit. The simplest example at criticality is the golden chain [12] which has applications to anyons and topological quantum field theory. The golden chain is in fact the Hamiltonian limit of a critical two-dimensional model of interacting hard squares [13] built on a vector space of paths on the $T_2 \equiv A_4/\mathbb{Z}_2$ tadpole diagram.

In this paper, we are interested in a general formulation of ($\varphi_{1,3}$ perturbed) off-critical RSOS quantum chain Hamiltonians associated to the Yang-Baxter integrable two-dimensional A-type RSOS models of Andrews, Baxter and Forrester [14,15]. Apart from their intrinsic interest, a recent motivation arises from the exact computation of entanglement entropy [16] of one-dimensional quantum systems. As an alternative to the well known methods [17–19] of computing entanglement entropy, this quantity can be computed exactly [20, 21] on an infinite bipartite chain through an off-critical corner transfer matrix [3,22] approach. In particular, the calculation of entanglement entropy has been carried out [23] for the unitary minimal models [14, 24] and generalized to nonunitary minimal models in [25]. These works, however, do not answer the physically relevant question as to precisely which one-dimensional off-critical quantum system it is whose entanglement entropy is computed.

In Section 2, we recall the concepts of planar algebras [26] and the diagrammatic multiplication of tiles by tensor contraction of indices (heights). We illustrate these concepts in the context of the off-critical golden chain and off-critical Yang-Lee chain interpreting the states as particle occupation with nearest-neighbour exclusion. In Section 3, we recall the elliptic Boltzmann face weights of the off-critical Forrester-Baxter RSOS models [15] and rewrite these as face transfer operators in terms of decorated planar algebra tiles. We also present sufficient algebraic relations to ensure the Yang-Baxter Equations (YBE) are satisfied. In Section 4, we use the tile operator formalism to compute the quantum Hamiltonian limit of the RSOS transfer matrix. We also show that specializing to criticality reproduces the usual quantum Temperley-Lieb chains. Relevant properties of the elliptic functions are summarized in Appendix A.

2 T_2 RSOS Quantum Chains

2.1 Off-critical golden and $\mathbb{Z}_3$ parafermionic chains

The Interacting Hard Square (IHS) model [3, 13] is defined on a square lattice. A site of the lattice is either occupied by a particle ($a = 1$) or is vacant ($a = 0$) subject to the nearest-neighbour exclusion $ab = 0$ if $a, b = 0, 1$ are the occupancies of neighbouring sites. This adjacency condition is encoded in the $T_2 = A_4/\mathbb{Z}_2$ tadpole diagram which is the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ folding of the A_4 Dynkin diagram as shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1: The $\mathbb{Z}_2$ folding of the A_4 Dynkin diagram to the tadpole T_2.

A configuration along a periodic row of the square lattice is given by a path $\sigma = \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_N\}$ where $\sigma_j = 0, 1$ and $\sigma_j \sigma_{j+1} = 0$ for each $j = 1, 2, \ldots, N$ with $\sigma_{j+N} \equiv \sigma_j$ modulo N. The counting of paths from a to a in N steps with $a = 0, 1$ is given by F_{N+1} and F_{N-1} respectively where the Fibonacci numbers are

$$F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2} = 0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, \ldots \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots \tag{2.1}$$

In terms of the golden number $g = \frac{1}{2}\,(1 + \sqrt{5})$, the total number of periodic paths $L_N = F_{N+1} + F_{N-1}$ is given by the Lucas numbers

$$L_N = g^N + (-1)^N g^{-N} = 1, 3, 4, 7, 11, 18, 29, 47, \ldots \qquad N = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \tag{2.2}$$

The 45° rotated square lattice can be built, one elementary face at a time, using face transfer matrices. The IHS face transfer operators $\mathbb{X}_j(u)$ acting at position $j = 1, 2, \ldots, N$ are defined by

$$\mathbb{X}_j(u)_\sigma^{\sigma'} = \prod_{\substack{k=1,2,\ldots,N \\ k \neq j}} \delta(\sigma_k, \sigma'_k)$$



(2.3)

They act from the upper row configuration or path $\sigma' = \{\sigma'_1, \sigma'_2, \ldots, \sigma'_N\}$ to the lower row configuration or path $\sigma = \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_N\}$. They act as the identity everywhere except in the column labelled by j where they add a single face to the 45° rotated square lattice. Multiplication of the face operators is by tensor contraction of indices given by the particle occupation numbers $\sigma_j = 0, 1$. As elements of the planar algebra [26], the face operators decompose into a sum of seven elementary tiles

$\mathbb{X}_j(u) =$



(2.4)

where $s(u) = \vartheta_1(u) = \vartheta_1(u, q)$ is a standard elliptic theta function [27] of nome q, as in Appendix A, u is the spectral parameter and the crossing parameter is $\lambda = \frac{\pi}{5}$. Here we work in the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ folding of the A_4 face weights (3.1) to (3.3) in the symmetric gauge $g_a = \sqrt{s(a\lambda)}$. The physical regimes of interest are

Regime II:	$0 < q < 1$,	$-\lambda < u < 0$,	Hard hexagons	$\mathbb{Z}_3$ parafermions
Regime III:	$0 < q < 1$,	$0 < u < \lambda$,	Tricritical Ising	$\mathcal{M}(4, 5)$ minimal model

(2.5)

We refer to the $u > 0$ Regime III as ferromagnetic and the $u < 0$ Regime II as antiferromagnetic. At criticality, $q = 0$ and the central charges of the associated Conformal Field Theories (CFTs) are $c = \frac{4}{5}$

for hard hexagons (in the universality class of $\mathbb{Z}_3$ parafermions) and $c = \frac{7}{10}$ for the tricritical Ising model (unitary minimal model $\mathcal{M}(4,5)$).

Regarding the elementary tiles as operators acting on the upper row configuration to produce the lower row configuration, we write them respectively as

$$E_j = n_j^{000}, n_j^{010}, n_j^{001}, n_j^{100}, n_j^{101}, f_j, f_j^\dagger, \qquad n_j^{000} + n_j^{010} + n_j^{001} + n_j^{100} + n_j^{101} = I \tag{2.6}$$

where n_j^{abc} denotes a diagonal projector onto paths with $\sigma_{j-1} = a, \sigma_j = b, \sigma_{j+1} = c$. The non-diagonal operator $f_j \equiv f_j^{01}$ annihilates a particle at position j whereas $f_{-j} \equiv f_j^\dagger \equiv f_j^{10}$ creates a particle at position j. These operators are fermionic in the sense that $f_j^2 = f_{-j}^2 = 0$. However, they are not the modes of a free fermion since they implement nearest neighbour exclusion and satisfy the modified Canonical Anticommutation Relations (CAR)

$$\{f_j, f_k\} = (n_j^{000} + n_j^{010})\delta(j,-k) = n_j^{0\bullet 0}\delta(j,-k) \tag{2.7}$$

where $n_j = n_j^{010} = f_j^\dagger f_j$ is the number operator giving the occupation of site j, $n_j^\dagger = n_j^{000} = f_j f_j^\dagger$ and $n_j^{0\bullet 0} = n_j^{000} + n_j^{010}$ is the diagonal projector onto paths with $\sigma_{j-1} = \sigma_{j+1} = 0$. Each of the 5 projectors can be writtem in terms of the fermion operators

$$n_j^{000} = f_j f_j^\dagger,\ n_j^{010} = f_j^\dagger f_j,\ n_j^{100} = f_{j-1}^\dagger f_j f_j^\dagger f_{j-1},\ n_j^{001} = f_{j+1}^\dagger f_j f_j^\dagger f_{j+1},\ n_j^{101} = f_{j-1}^\dagger f_{j-1} f_{j+1}^\dagger f_{j+1} \tag{2.8}$$

More generally, the fermionic modes f_j generate an associative algebra $\mathcal{A}$. Acting on the vector space of paths from 0 to 0 in $N-1$ steps, the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ admits F_N^2 independent words w. These words map between any two arbitrary paths and take the canonical form

$$w = \prod_{j:\sigma_j=1} f_j^\dagger\ |0\rangle\langle 0|\ \prod_{k:\sigma'_k=1} f_k = |\sigma\rangle\langle\sigma'|, \qquad |\sigma\rangle = \prod_{j:\sigma_j=1} f_j^\dagger\ |0\rangle \tag{2.9}$$

where $|0\rangle = |0;N\rangle$ denotes the vacuum path $\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \ldots, \sigma_N\} = \{0,0,0,\ldots,0\}$ and the projector onto the vacuum state is

$$|0\rangle\langle 0| = \prod_{j=2}^{N-1} n_j^{000} = \prod_{j=2}^{N-1} f_j f_j^\dagger \tag{2.10}$$

The matrix representatives of the words $w \in \mathcal{A}$ have entries which are all 0 or 1. The simplest way to work with this algebra is graphically in the planar algebra so that, for example, the relation $f_{j-1}n_j^{100} = n_j^{000} f_{j-1} = f_j f_j^\dagger f_{j-1}$ becomes



(2.11)

Clearly, the elementary operators E_j of (2.6) mutually commute if they are not at the same or adjacent sites

$$[E_j, E_k] = 0, \qquad |j-k| > 1 \tag{2.12}$$

In addition, the elementary operators E_j satisfy the single-site relations

$$n_j^{abc} n_j^{a'b'c'} = \delta(a,a')\delta(b,b')\delta(c,c')\, n_j^{abc}, \qquad f_j^{ab} f_j^{b'a'} = \delta(b,b') f_j^{a,a'} \tag{2.13}$$

$$n_j^{abc} f_j^{b'b''} = \delta(a,0)\delta(b,b')\delta(c,0)\, f_j^{bb''}, \qquad f_j^{bb'} n_j^{ab''c} = \delta(a,0)\delta(b',b'')\delta(c,0)\, f_j^{bb''} \tag{2.14}$$

where we set $f_j^{aa} = n_j^{0a0}$ for $a = 0, 1$. By using linearity and expanding out the 49 terms, the inversion relation

$$\mathbb{X}_j(u)\mathbb{X}_j(-u) = \frac{s(\lambda-u)s(\lambda+u)}{s(\lambda)^2}\, I, \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} & c & \\ d & -u & b \\ & g & \\ d & u & b \\ & a & \end{array} = \frac{s(\lambda-u)s(\lambda+u)}{s(\lambda)^2}\,\delta(a,c) \tag{2.15}$$

follows immediately from the single-site relations and elliptic identities. This calculation is most easily carried out separately in the four blocks labelled by $0a0$, 100, 001, 101.

The Yang-Baxter equation

$$\mathbb{X}_j(u)\mathbb{X}_{j+1}(u+v)\mathbb{X}_j(v) = \mathbb{X}_{j+1}(v)\mathbb{X}_j(u+v)\mathbb{X}_{j+1}(u), \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} & b' & \\ a & v & c' \\ & g & \\ a & u & c \\ & b & \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ u{+}v \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} d \;=\; \begin{array}{ccc} & c' & \\ b' & u & d \\ & g' & \\ a & u{+}v & \\ & b & \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ v \\ \\ \end{array} d \tag{2.16}$$

can similarly be established by considering separately the four blocks labelled by $0ab0$, $0a01$, $10a0$, 1001 and using two-site relations combined with suitable elliptic identities. For example, in the $10a0$ block, the required two-site relations are

$$n_j^{10a} f_{j+1}^{aa'} n_j^{10a'} = f_{j+1}^{a0} n_j^{100} f_{j+1}^{0a'} = f_{j+1}^{a1} n_j^{101} f_{j+1}^{1a'}, \qquad a, a' = 0, 1 \tag{2.17}$$

These relations, along with the obvious compatibility relations such as

$$n_j^{abc} n_{j+1}^{b'c'd} = \delta(b,b')\delta(c,c') n_j^{abc} n_{j+1}^{bcd} = \delta(b,b')\delta(c,c') n_{j+1}^{bcd} n_j^{ab'c'} \tag{2.18}$$

are straightforwardly read off from the diagrammatic product of elementary tiles. In this way, the single-site, two-site, and compatibility relations on the elementary tiles are sufficient to guarantee that the linear combination (2.4) is a solution of the YBE. In this sense, the elementary operators E_j, subject to the single-site, two-site, compatibility and commutation relations, generate an off-critical associative Yang-Baxter algebra.

For periodic boundary conditions, the off-critical quantum Hamiltonian associated with the IHS model is given by

$$\mathcal{H} = \mp \sum_{j=1}^{N} h_j, \qquad h_j = \frac{d}{du}\mathbb{X}_j(u)\Big|_{u=0} \tag{2.19}$$

where the upper sign is taken in Regime III and the lower sign in Regime II. Explicitly, for the off-critical quantum chain,

$$h_j = t_2 \begin{array}{c}\square\end{array} - t_2 \begin{array}{c}\square\end{array} - t_1 \left(\begin{array}{c}\square\end{array} + \begin{array}{c}\square\end{array} \right) + t_1 \begin{array}{c}\square\end{array}$$
$$+ \frac{\vartheta_1'(0)}{\sqrt{\vartheta_1(\lambda)\vartheta_1(2\lambda)}} \left(\begin{array}{c}\square\end{array} + \begin{array}{c}\square\end{array} \right), \qquad t_1 = \frac{\vartheta_1'(\lambda)}{\vartheta_1(\lambda)}, \quad t_2 = \frac{\vartheta_1'(2\lambda)}{\vartheta_1(2\lambda)} \tag{2.20}$$

2.2 Critical golden and $\mathbb{Z}_3$ parafermionic chains

At criticality $q = 0$, $\frac{\vartheta_1(u)}{\vartheta_1(\lambda)} = \frac{\sin u}{\sin \lambda}$, $t_1 = \cot \lambda$, $t_2 = \cot 2\lambda$ and the Temperley-Lieb Hamiltonian of the periodic chain is

$$\mathcal{H}_{TL} = \begin{cases} -\sum_{j=1}^{N} e_j, & \text{Regime III (golden chain)} \\ +\sum_{j=1}^{N} e_j, & \text{Regime II ($\mathbb{Z}_3$ parafermions)} \end{cases} \tag{2.21}$$

where, setting $\beta = 2\cos\lambda = \frac{\sin 2\lambda}{\sin \lambda}$, the Temperley-Lieb generator is

$e_j = \mathbb{X}_j(\lambda) = \dfrac{t_1 I + h_j}{t_1 + t_2} =$  (2.22)

The properties of the Temperley-Lieb generators

$$e_j^2 = \beta e_j, \qquad e_j e_{j\pm1} e_j = e_j \tag{2.23}$$

follow from the algebraic properties of the fermionic operators f_j. In the ordered particle basis $\{\{1,0,1\}, \{0,0,1\}, \{1,0,0\}, \{0,1,0\}, \{0,0,0\}\}$, the matrix representation

$$\beta^{-1} e_j = \mathrm{diag}(1,0,0) \oplus \begin{pmatrix} \beta^{-2} & \beta^{-3/2} \\ \beta^{-3/2} & \beta^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.24}$$

agrees with the critical golden chain of [12]. Off-criticality, the solution of the Yang-Baxter is built from the fermionic planar algebra and, at criticality, the Temperley-Lieb algebra is expressed in terms of the fermionic planar algebra. It is therefore clear that the fermionic planar algebra is more fundamental than the Temperley-Lieb algebra.

2.3 Off-critical Yang-Lee chain

The off-critical Yang-Lee model is the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ folding of the A_4 RSOS model of [15] with crossing parameter $\lambda = \frac{3\pi}{5}$. The face operators decompose into a sum of the same seven tiles as in (2.4)

$\mathbb{X}_j(u) =$  (2.25)

Because the model is nonunitary, we work with the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ folding of the A_4 RSOS model (3.1) to (3.3) with the non-symmetric gauge $g_a = 1$. This choice breaks one of the diagonal reflection symmetries. The seven elementary tiles include the particle creation $f_j^\dagger$ and annihilation operators f_j. They satisfy the same algebraic relations as in the IHS model (both in the planar setting and as linear operators acting on the path basis) and implement nearest neighbour exclusion in the particle basis. From these algebraic relations, it follows that the face operators $\mathbb{X}_j(u)$ satisfy the inversion relation (2.15) with $\lambda = \frac{3\pi}{5}$ and the YBE (2.16). The relevant physical regime for the off-critical Yang-Lee model is

$$\text{Regime III:} \qquad 0 < q < 1, \qquad 0 < u < \lambda, \qquad \text{Lee-Yang} \quad \mathcal{M}(2,5) \text{ minimal model} \tag{2.26}$$

The continuum scaling limit at criticality with $q = 0$ describes the nonunitary minimal CFT $\mathcal{M}(2,5)$ with central charge $c = -\frac{22}{5}$. Within the CFT, the relation between the particle basis and the Virasoro basis has been discussed in [28].

For periodic boundary conditions, the off-critical quantum Hamiltonian associated with the Yang-Lee model is

$$\mathcal{H} = -\sum_{j=1}^{N} h_j, \qquad h_j = t_2 \; - t_2 \; - t_1 \left(\; + \; \right) + t_1 \;$$
$$+ \frac{\vartheta_1'(0)}{\vartheta_1(2\lambda)} \; + \frac{\vartheta_1'(0)}{\vartheta_1(\lambda)} \;, \qquad t_1 = \frac{\vartheta_1'(\lambda)}{\vartheta_1(\lambda)}, \quad t_2 = \frac{\vartheta_1'(2\lambda)}{\vartheta_1(2\lambda)} \tag{2.27}$$



2.4 Critical Yang-Lee chain

At criticality $q = 0$, $\frac{\vartheta_1(u)}{\vartheta_1(\lambda)} = \frac{\sin u}{\sin \lambda}$, $t_1 = \cot \lambda$, $t_2 = \cot 2\lambda$ and the Temperley-Lieb Hamiltonian of the periodic chain is

$$\mathcal{H}_{TL} = -\sum_{j=1}^{N} e_j, \qquad \text{Regime III (Yang-Lee chain)} \tag{2.28}$$

where, setting $\beta = 2\cos\lambda = \frac{\sin 2\lambda}{\sin \lambda}$, the Temperley-Lieb generator is

$$e_j = \mathbb{X}_j(\lambda) = \frac{t_1 I + h_j}{t_1 + t_2} = \; + \frac{1}{\beta} \; + \beta \; + \frac{1}{\beta} \; + \; \tag{2.29}$$



Again, the properties of the Temperley-Lieb generators

$$e_j^2 = \beta e_j, \qquad e_j e_{j\pm1} e_j = e_j \tag{2.30}$$

follow from the algebraic properties of the fermionic operators f_j.

3 Forrester-Baxter RSOS Models

3.1 Face weights, face operators and tiles

The minimal models $\mathcal{M}(m, m'; t)$, where $t = q^2$ is a temperature-like variable, are described by the continuum scaling limit of the Forrester-Baxter Restricted Solid-On-Solid (RSOS) models [14, 15] on the square lattice. These models possess heights $a = 1, 2, \ldots, m'-1$ restricted so that nearest neighbour heights on the edges of the square lattice differ by ± 1. The heights thus live on the $A_{m'-1}$ Dynkin diagram. The Boltzmann weights are

$$\omega_{1,a}(u) = W\begin{pmatrix} a\pm1 & a \\ a & a\mp1 \end{pmatrix} u \Bigg) \; = \; = \frac{s(\lambda-u)}{s(\lambda)} \tag{3.1}$$

$$\omega^{\pm}_{2,a}(u) = W\begin{pmatrix} a & a\pm1 \\ a\mp1 & a \end{pmatrix} u \Bigg) \; = \; = \frac{g_{a\mp1}}{g_{a\pm1}} \frac{s((a\pm1)\lambda)}{s(a\lambda)} \frac{s(u)}{s(\lambda)} \tag{3.2}$$

$$\omega^{\pm}_{3,a}(u) = W\begin{pmatrix} a & a\pm1 \\ a\pm1 & a \end{pmatrix} u \Bigg) \; = \; = \frac{s(a\lambda \pm u)}{s(a\lambda)} \tag{3.3}$$



Here $s(u) = \vartheta_1(u, q)$ is a standard elliptic theta function [27], as in Appendix A, and u is the spectral parameter. The crossing parameter is

$$\lambda = \frac{(m' - m)\pi}{m'}, \qquad 2 \leq m < m', \qquad m, m' \text{ coprime} \tag{3.4}$$

The elliptic nome is q, with $t = q^2$ measuring the departure from criticality corresponding to the $\varphi_{1,3}$ integrable perturbation. The gauge factors g_a are arbitrary functions of a. For convenience, we set $g_a = 1$ but note that this choice breaks the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ refection symmetry about the SE-NW diagonal. The $\mathbb{Z}_2$ refection symmetry about the SW-NE diagonal is preserved. Setting $n = m' - 2$, the physical regimes of interest are

$$\begin{array}{lllll} \text{Regime II:} & 0 < q < 1 & -\lambda < u < 0 & \mathbb{Z}_n \text{ parafermions} \\ \text{Regime III:} & 0 < q < 1 & 0 < u < \lambda & \mathcal{M}(m, m') \text{ minimal model} \end{array} \tag{3.5}$$

The tiles in (3.1) to (3.3) with dashed diagonals will be explained below. By abuse of notation, we regard such tiles as either scalars (matrix entries) or as operators (matrices) in a planar algebra. Fixing the direction of action as upwards, the faces give linear operators acting on a path space of heights. The RSOS face transfer operator $\mathbb{X}_j(u)$, acting at position $j = 1, 2, \ldots, N$, has entries

$$\mathbb{X}_j(u)_\sigma^{\sigma'} = \prod_{\substack{k=1,2,\ldots,N \\ k \neq j}} \delta(\sigma_k, \sigma'_k)$$



(3.6)

The face operators act from the upper row configuration or path $\sigma' = \{\sigma'_1, \sigma'_2, \ldots, \sigma'_N\}$ to the lower row configuration or path $\sigma = \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_N\}$ and add a single face to the 45° rotated square lattice. The $\mathbb{X}_j(u)$ act as the identity (Kronecker delta) everywhere except on the column labelled by j. The row configurations with $\sigma_j, \sigma'_j \in A_{m'-1}$ respect the local adjacency rules so that $\sigma_{j+1} - \sigma_j = \pm 1$, etc. The boundary conditions can be open or periodic $\sigma_{j+N} \equiv \sigma_j$ by interpreting the sites j modulo N. The number of paths for given boundary conditions is counted by generalized Fibonacci numbers. For periodic boundary conditions, the number of N-step paths is given by $\operatorname{Tr} A_{m'-1}^N$ where $A_{m'-1}$ denotes the adjacency matrix.

Following Jones [26], it is sometimes convenient to adopt a diagrammatic planar algebra viewpoint. In our elliptic planar algebra, multiplication is implemented by local tensor contraction with the heights, denoted by a, b, c or σ_j, as indices. We thus decompose the face operators into a linear sum of elementary tiles with coefficients given by the elliptic Boltzmann weights

$$\mathbb{X}_j(u) = \mathbb{X}_{a\,b\,c}^{a'b'c'}(u) =$$



$$= \omega_{1,b}(u)\, \delta(b, b') \bar{\delta}(a, c)$$



$$+ \omega_{2,a}^{b'-a}(u)\, \delta(a, c) \bar{\delta}(b, b')$$



$$+ \omega_{3,a}^{b'-a}(u)\, \delta(a, c) \delta(b, b')$$



(3.7)

$$= \omega_{1,b}(u)$$



$$+ \omega_{2,a}^{b'-a}(u)$$



$$+ \omega_{3,a}^{b'-a}(u)$$



(3.8)

where we have introduced the complementary Kronecker delta

$$\bar{\delta}(a, b) = 1 - \delta(a, b) = \begin{cases} 0, & a = b \\ 1, & a \neq b \end{cases} \tag{3.9}$$

A blue internal dashed line in a tile indicates a Kronecker delta and a red internal dashed line on a diagonal indicates a complementary Kronecker delta. For convenience, we have made the identifications $a = \sigma_{j-1}$, $b = \sigma_j$, $c = \sigma_{j+1}$ and $b' = \sigma'_j$. We will continue to make such identifications without further comment.

3.2 Inversion relation and YBE

The Forrester-Baxter RSOS models are Yang-Baxter integrable [3, 14, 15]. The face operators satisfy the inversion and Yang-Baxter Equations (YBE)

$$\mathbb{X}_j(u)\mathbb{X}_j(-u) = \frac{s(\lambda-u)s(\lambda+u)}{s(\lambda)^2}\, I, \qquad \mathbb{X}_j(u)\mathbb{X}_{j+1}(u+v)\mathbb{X}_j(v) = \mathbb{X}_{j+1}(v)\mathbb{X}_j(u+v)\mathbb{X}_{j+1}(u) \quad (3.10)$$



$$= \frac{s(\lambda-u)s(\lambda+u)}{s(\lambda)^2}\,\delta(b,b')$$



(3.11)

The solid circle indicates that the internal heights g, g' are summed over allowed values.

The elementary tile operators encode and impose the adjacency conditions of the allowed faces. In the height representation, given by action on configurations of rows of heights, the elementary tile operators E_j are represented by binary matrices with entries

$$E_j = E_{a\,b\,c}^{a'b'c'} = \delta(a,a')\delta(c,c') \quad$$



$$= 0, 1 \qquad (3.12)$$

where $b = \sigma_j$, $b' = \sigma'_j$ and so on. As linear operators, these matrices act as the identity everywhere except on the column labelled by j. The elementary tile operators E_j satisfy a Yang-Baxter algebra in the form of a simple cell calculus which mimics the inversion relation and YBE



$$E_j E_k = E_k E_j, \quad |j-k| > 1 \qquad (3.13)$$

The principal difference is that there is no sum on the internal heights g, g'. These relations hold for all allowed elementary tile operators and all allowed fixed values of g, g'. The tile operators, with the defining relations (3.13), replace the Pauli spin matrices $(\sigma_j^x, \sigma_j^y, \sigma_j^z)$ of the usual spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ quantum spin chains or the generators e_j of the critical $q = 0$ (trigonometric) Temperley-Lieb [11] chains.

The inversion relation involves linear operators on the single site j acting on 2-step paths ($N = 3$) whereas the YBE involves linear operators on the 2 sites $j, j+1$ acting on 3-step paths ($N = 4$). Generically, these identities therefore reduce to identities on 4×4 and 8×8 matrices with the ordered bases $\mathcal{B}_N$ of paths

$$\mathcal{B}_3 = \{(a, a+1, a+2), (a, a+1, a), (a, a-1, a), (a, a-1, a-2)\} \qquad (3.14)$$

$$\mathcal{B}_4 = \{(a, a+1, a+2, a+3), (a, a+1, a+2, a+1), (a, a+1, a, a+1), (a, a-1, a, a+1),$$
$$(a, a+1, a, a-1), (a, a-1, a, a-1), (a, a-1, a-2, a-1), (a, a-1, a-2, a-3)\} \qquad (3.15)$$

Explicitly, the 4×4 and two 8×8 matrices are

$$\mathbb{X}_j(u) = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_{1,a}(u) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^+_{3,a}(u) & \omega^-_{2,a}(u) & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^+_{2,a}(u) & \omega^-_{3,a}(u) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega_{1,a}(u) \end{pmatrix} \qquad (3.16)$$

$$\mathbb{X}_j(u) = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_{1,a}(u) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_{1,a}(u) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega^+_{3,a}(u) & \omega^-_{2,a}(u) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega^+_{2,a}(u) & \omega^-_{3,a}(u) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega^+_{3,a}(u) & \omega^-_{2,a}(u) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega^+_{2,a}(u) & \omega^-_{3,a}(u) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega_{1,a-1}(u) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega_{1,a-1}(u) \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.17}$$

$$\mathbb{X}_{j+1}(u) = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_{1,a+2}(u) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^+_{3,a+1}(u) & \omega^-_{2,a+1}(u) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^+_{2,a+1}(u) & \omega^-_{3,a+1}(u) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega_{1,a}(u) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega_{1,a}(u) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega^+_{3,a-1}(u) & \omega^-_{2,a-1}(u) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega^+_{2,a-1}(u) & \omega^-_{3,a-1}(u) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega_{1,a-2}(u) \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.18}$$

The complete list of elementary tile identities used to prove the inversion relation and YBE are presented graphically and algebraically in Appendix B. For generic λ, the required $\vartheta_1(u)$ elliptic identities are all special cases of the fundamental identity (A.5) of Appendix A. Due to truncation of heights in the RSOS models, we need to remove some terms from the fundamental identities if their heights refer to configurations which are not allowed. Such restrictions enter the equations when $a = 1, 2, m'-2$ or $m'-1$. The elliptic identities still hold in such cases due to the properties

$$\vartheta_1(-u) = -\vartheta_1(u) = \vartheta(u+\pi), \qquad \vartheta_1(m'\lambda) = 0 \tag{3.19}$$

3.3 Periodic row transfer matrices

The periodic transfer matrix $\boldsymbol{T}(u)$ acting between the rows or paths $\sigma = \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_N = \sigma_0\}$ and $\sigma' = \{\sigma'_1, \sigma'_2, \ldots, \sigma'_N = \sigma'_0\}$ has entries

$$\boldsymbol{T}(u)^{\sigma'}_{\sigma} = \prod_{j=1}^{N} X^{\sigma'_j, \sigma'_{j+1}, \sigma_{j+1}}_{\sigma'_j, \sigma_j, \sigma_{j+1}}(u) \tag{3.20}$$

The transfer matrix commutes with the shift operator $\Omega = \boldsymbol{T}(0)$ with entries

$$\Omega^{\sigma'}_{\sigma} = \prod_{j=1}^{N} \delta(\sigma_j, \sigma'_{j+1}) =$$



(3.21)

4 $A_{m'-1}$ RSOS Quantum Hamiltonians

4.1 Off-critical RSOS quantum Hamiltonians

The quantum Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ is given by the logarithmic derivative of the row transfer matrix at $u = 0$

$$\boldsymbol{T}(u) \sim \Omega \exp(-u\mathcal{H}) \sim \Omega[1 - u\mathcal{H} + \mathcal{O}(u^2)], \qquad -\mathcal{H} = \frac{d}{du} \log \boldsymbol{T}(u)\big|_{u=0} = \frac{d}{du}\big[\Omega^{-1}\boldsymbol{T}(u)\big]_{u=0} \tag{4.1}$$

It follows that after shifting the energy by an amount $\frac{s'(\lambda)}{s(\lambda)}$

$$-\mathcal{H}=\sum_{j=1}^{N}\Big[\frac{s'(0)}{s(\lambda)}\,F_j+X_j\Big],\qquad s'(0)=\vartheta_1'(0,q)=\vartheta_2(0,q)\vartheta_3(0,q)\vartheta_4(0,q) \tag{4.2}$$

where

$F_j=\dfrac{s(\sigma_j'\lambda)}{s(\sigma_{j+1}\lambda)}$  $X_j=\Big[\dfrac{s'(\lambda)}{s(\lambda)}-\dfrac{s'(0)}{s(\lambda)}\dfrac{s(\sigma_j'\lambda)}{s(\sigma_{j+1}\lambda)}+(\sigma_j'-\sigma_{j+1})\dfrac{s'(\sigma_{j+1}\lambda)}{s(\sigma_{j+1}\lambda)}\Big]$  (4.3)

and $s'(u)=\vartheta_1'(u,q)$ denotes the derivative of $s(u)$ with respect to u. In this derivation we have made use of the identities

 (4.4)

which follow from the scalar relation $\delta(a,b)+\bar{\delta}(a,b)=1$.

4.2 Critical RSOS quantum chains

At criticality, with $q=0$ and $g_a=1$, the nonzero Boltzmann weights reduce to

$$W\left(\begin{matrix}d & c\\ a & b\end{matrix}\middle|\,u\right)=\frac{s(\lambda-u)}{s(\lambda)}\,\delta(a,c)+\frac{s(c\lambda)}{s(b\lambda)}\,\frac{s(u)}{s(\lambda)}\,\delta(b,d) \tag{4.5}$$

with $s(u)=\sin u$. The second term gives rise to matrix representations [29] of the Temperley-Lieb generators e_j acting on paths built on the graph $A_{m'-1}$. The associated face operators are

$\mathbb{X}_j(u)=$  $=\dfrac{s(\lambda-u)}{s(\lambda)}\,I+\dfrac{s(u)}{s(\lambda)}\,e_j=\dfrac{s(\lambda-u)}{s(\lambda)}\,\delta(b,b')$  $+\ \dfrac{s(u)}{s(\lambda)}\,\delta(a,c)$  (4.6)

where I is the identity matrix and $j=1,2,\ldots,N$ fixes the position along a row. At criticality, the Temperley-Lieb generators coincide with the tiles F_j

$e_j=\delta(a,c)$  $=\dfrac{s(b'\lambda)}{s(c\lambda)}$  $=F_j$ (4.7)

and satisfy the Temperley-Lieb relations (2.23) with the loop fugacity $\beta=2\cos\lambda=2\cos\frac{(m'-m)\pi}{m'}$. The X_j operators vanish at criticality since $\sigma_j'-\sigma_{j+1}=\pm1$ and

$$\cot\lambda\pm\cot b\lambda=\frac{\sin(b\pm1)\lambda}{\sin\lambda\sin b\lambda} \tag{4.8}$$

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we obtain the off-critical Hamiltonians of the periodic quantum chains corresponding to the RSOS lattice models in the anisotropic limit. This was achieved in both the ferromagnetic ($u>0$) Regime III and antiferromagnetic ($u<0$) Regime II. Off-criticality, for $t=q^2>0$, these regimes are associated with $\mathbb{Z}_2$-ordered and $\mathbb{Z}_n$-ordered phases respectively with $n=m'-2$. As the critical point is approached ($t,q\to0$), the RSOS chains become conformally invariant and are described, in the continuum scaling limit, by CFTs. In Regime III, the RSOS chains are associated

with the unitary/nonunitary minimal models $\mathcal{M}(m,m')$ with $2 \leq m \leq m'$ whereas, in Regime II, the RSOS chains are associated with $\mathbb{Z}_n$ parafermions with $n = m' - 2$. We have thus found an off-critical quantum chain realization of the minimal models perturbed by the thermal operator $\varphi_{r,s} = \varphi_{1,3}$ with $\Delta_{1,3} = \frac{2m-m'}{m'}$ as well as a quantum chain realization of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ parafermions perturbed by the thermal operator $\varphi_{\ell,m} = \varphi_{2,0}$ with $\Delta_t = \Delta_{2,0} = \frac{2}{n+2}$. We stress that our analysis in Regime III applies equally to nonunitary models and there is no need to restrict to unitary minimal models. Of course, it is possible to write all of the RSOS Hamiltonians in terms of Pauli spin matrices. But, since this is not the natural language for these models, the resulting expressions are unwieldy and not illuminating. At criticality, our quantum chains are associated with generalized interacting anyons in topological quantum liquids [12].

Although we focused on the single row transfer matrix with periodic boundary conditions, the same critical or off-quantum chains apply to the case of double row transfer matrices with simple (vacuum) open boundary conditions. Although the nonunitary RSOS lattice models admit negative Boltzmann weights and do not have a clear probabilistic interpretation, the associated quantum Hamiltonians and CFTs are well defined. The quantum Hamiltonians, being represented by sparse matrices, are much better suited for numerical calculations than the full double row transfer matrices. Empirically, the numerical spectra of the double row transfer matrices and associated chains are real and the matrices are diagonalizable even though these matrices are not symmetric (Hermitian). This is probably explained by some PT symmetry. A complete analysis of the open off-critical RSOS quantum chain looks interesting and is a possible direction for future research. But let us just summarise the qualitative properties of the spectra of these open off-critical quantum chains. The numerical eigenvalues are real and consist of a finite number ($2n$ in Regime II, $2m-2$ in Regime III in the RSOS representation) of asymptotically degenerate lowest energy levels (ground states) separated by a finite gap to an order-N band of next-lowest eigenvalues. As $t \to 0$, the gap vanishes and the expected conformal spectra of the $\mathbb{Z}_n$ parafermions/minimal models is reproduced.

To conclude, we mention that our results fill a gap in the reasoning of recent investigations into the exact calculation [23, 25] of bipartite quantum entanglement in infinite chains through the corner transfer matrix approach [20]. Corner transfer matrices [22] were introduced for *classical* off-critical two-dimensional lattice models. However, entanglement is a *quantum* property and refers to the associated one-dimensional quantum chain. It is precisely the Hamiltonian of this off-critical quantum chain that has been found and described here. Now we know the correct identification of the models underlying the results of [23, 25], it is possible, for example, to perform numerical DMRG calculations to explore entanglement properties more deeply by considering finite intervals, finite size, and so on. In particular, the observed behaviour [25] of entanglement entropy growing as $\frac{1}{3}\, c_{\text{eff}} \log \xi$, where ξ is the correlation length, provides the first independent confirmation of general first principle results [30] for the entanglement entropy of nonunitary conformal models. The precise physical meaning of these results for nonunitary theories poses further interesting questions that will stimulate future research.

Acknowledgments

EE and FR thank the INFN grants QUANTUM and GAST for partial financial support. DB acknowledges the warm hospitality of the University of Bologna and INFN where part of this work was done. PAP is supported by the Melbourne Research Grant Support Scheme and gratefully acknowledges support during a visit to the Asia Pacific Centre for Theoretical Physics, Pohang, South Korea. We thank O. Castro-Alvaredo and B. Doyon for useful discussions.

A Elliptic Functions

For convenience, in this section, we summarize the definitions of the elliptic functions and the fundamental elliptic identity used in this paper. The standard elliptic theta functions [27] are

$$\vartheta_1(u,q) = 2q^{1/4}\sin u\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-2q^{2n}\cos 2u+q^{4n})(1-q^{2n}) \tag{A.1}$$

$$\vartheta_2(u,q) = 2q^{1/4}\cos u\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1+2q^{2n}\cos 2u+q^{4n})(1-q^{2n}) \tag{A.2}$$

$$\vartheta_3(u,q) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1+2q^{2n-1}\cos 2u+q^{4n-2})(1-q^{2n}) \tag{A.3}$$

$$\vartheta_4(u,q) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-2q^{2n-1}\cos 2u+q^{4n-2})(1-q^{2n}) \tag{A.4}$$

Setting $s(u)=\vartheta_1(u,q)$, the fundamental elliptic identity as in (15.3.10) of [3] is

$$s(u+v)s(u-v)s(x+y)s(x-y) = s(u+x)s(u-x)s(v+y)s(v-y)-s(u+y)s(u-y)s(v+x)s(v-x) \tag{A.5}$$

B RSOS Elementary Tile Identities

The complete list of elementary tile identities used to prove the inversion relation and YBE for the generic RSOS models are presented graphically in the next two subsections. The tile identities are summarised algebraically in the subsequent subsection.

B.1 Inversion relation tile identities



(B.1)

(B.2)

(B.3)

(B.4)

B.2 YBE tile identities



(B.5)



(B.6)



(B.7)



(B.8)



(B.9)



(B.10)



(B.11)



(B.12)



(B.13)

B.3 Algebraic form of tile identities

The tile identities of the previous sections can all be written in a more compact form algebraically in terms of the elementary operators



(B.14)

Explicitly, the identities are

$$d_j^2 = d_j, \quad f_j^2 = x_j, \quad x_j^2 = x_j, \quad x_j f_j = f_j, \quad f_j x_j = f_j \tag{B.15}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f_j f_{j+1} f_j &= f_{j+1} f_j f_{j+1}, & d_j x_{j+1} d_j &= f_{j+1} x_j f_{j+1}, & d_j f_{j+1} x_j &= f_{j+1} x_j x_{j+1} \\
d_j f_{j+1} x_j &= x_{j+1} d_j f_{j+1}, & d_j f_{j+1} d_j &= f_{j+1} f_j d_{j+1}, & x_j f_{j+1} d_j &= x_{j+1} x_j f_{j+1} \\
x_j f_{j+1} d_j &= f_{j+1} d_j x_{j+1}, & f_j d_{j+1} f_j &= x_{j+1} x_j x_{j+1}, & f_j d_{j+1} f_j &= f_{j+1} d_j f_{j+1} \\
x_j x_{j+1} x_j &= x_{j+1} x_j x_{j+1}, & x_j x_{j+1} x_j &= f_{j+1} d_j f_{j+1}, & f_j d_{j+1} x_j &= x_{j+1} f_j d_{j+1} \\
x_j x_{j+1} f_j &= x_{j+1} f_j d_{j+1}, & f_j f_{j+1} d_j &= d_{j+1} f_j f_{j+1}, & x_j d_{j+1} f_j &= d_{j+1} f_j x_{j+1} \\
f_j x_{j+1} x_j &= d_{j+1} f_j x_{j+1}, & x_j d_{j+1} x_j &= d_{j+1} x_j d_{j+1}, & f_j x_{j+1} f_j &= d_{j+1} x_j d_{j+1}
\end{aligned} \tag{B.16}$$

The elementary operators d_j and x_j are diagonal operators. In contrast, the operators f_j with $\sigma_j > \sigma'_j$ are height raising while the operators $f_{-j} = f_j^\dagger$ with $\sigma_j < \sigma'_j$ are height lowering operators. The operators f_j are fermionic in the sense that $f_j^2 = 0$. All states $|\sigma\rangle$ can be obtained by acting with the height raising operators on the vacuum path $|0\rangle = \{1, 2, 1, 2, \ldots, 2, 1\}$. As before, it follows that all words $|\sigma\rangle\langle\sigma|$ in the algebra can be constructed as monomials in f_j and $f_j^\dagger$ combined with the projector $|0\rangle\langle 0|$ onto the vacuum state.

References

[1] R.J. Baxter, *One-dimensional anisotropic Heisenberg chain*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **26** (1971) 834–835.

[2] R.J. Baxter, *One-dimensional anisotropic Heisenberg chain*, Annals of Physics **70** (1972) 323–337.

[3] R.J. Baxter, *Exactly Solved Models in Statistical Mechanics*, London: Academic Press, 1982.

[4] L. Onsager, *Crystal statistics I. A two dimensional model with an order-disorder transition*, Phys. Rev. **65** (1944) 117

[5] E. Lieb, T. Schultz and D. Mattis, *Two soluble models of an antiferromagnetic chain*, Annals of Physics **16** (1961) 407–466.

[6] A.B. Zamolodchikov and V.A. Fateev, *Nonlocal (parfermionic) currents in two-dimensional conformal quantum field theory and self-dual critical points in $\mathbb{Z}_N$-symmetric statistical systems* Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz **89** (1985) 380–399.

[7] M. Jimbo, T. Miwa and M. Okado, Solvable lattice models with broken $\mathbb{Z}_N$ symmetry and Hecke's indefinite modular forms, Nucl. Phys. **B275** (1986) 517–545.

[8] G. von Gehlen and V. Rittenberg, *$\mathbb{Z}_n$-symmetric quantum chains with an infinite set of conserved charges and $\mathbb{Z}_n$ zero modes*, Nucl. Phys. **257** (1985) 351–370.

[9] V. Pasquier, *Two-dimensional critical systems labelled by Dynkin diagrams*, Nucl. Phys. **B285** (1987) 162–172.

[10] V. Pasquier, *Operator content of the ADE lattice models*, J. Phys. A **20** (1987) 5707–5717; *Lattice derivation of modular invariant partition functions on the torus*, J. Phys. A **20** (1987) L1229–L1237.

[11] H.N.V. Temperley and E.H. Lieb, *Relations between the 'percolation' and 'colouring' problem and other graph-theoretical problems associated with regular planar lattices: some exact results for the 'percolation' problem*, Proc. R. Soc. Lon. **A322** (1971) 251–280.

[12] A. Feiguin, S. Trebst, A.W.W. Ludwig, M. Troyer, A. Kitaev, Z. Wang, M.H. Freedman, *Interacting anyons in topological quantum liquids: The golden chain*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98** (2007) 160409;

[13] R.J. Baxter and P.A. Pearce, *Hard hexagons: interfacial tension and correlation length*, J. Phys. A **15** (1982) 897–910; *Hard squares with diagonal attractions*, J. Phys. A **16** (1983) 2239–2255.

[14] G.E. Andrews, R.J. Baxter and P.J. Forrester, *Eight-vertex SOS model and generalised Rogers-Ramanujan-type identities*, J. Stat. Phys. **35** (1984) 193–266.

[15] P.J. Forrester and R.J. Baxter, *Further exact solutions of the eight-vertex SOS model and generalizations of the Rogers-Ramanujan identities*, J. Stat. Phys. **38** (1985) 435-472.

[16] C.H. Bennett, H.J. Bernstein, S. Popescu and B. Schumacher, *Concentrating partial entanglement by local operations*, Phys. Rev. A **53** (1996) 2046.

[17] C. Holzhey, F. Larsen and F. Wilczek, *Geometric and renormalized entropy in conformal field theory*, Nucl. Phys. **B424** (1994) 443–467.

[18] P. Calabrese and J. Cardy, *Entanglement entropy and quantum field theory*, J. Stat. Mech. (2004) P06002.

[19] J.L. Cardy, O.A. Castro-Alvaredo and B. Doyon, *Form factors of branch-point twist fields in quantum integrable models and entanglement entropy*, J. Stat. Phys. **130** (2008) 129–168.

[20] I. Peschel and V. Eisler, *Reduced density matrices and entanglement entropy in free lattice models*, J. Phys. A **42** (2009) 504003; I. Peschel, M. Kaulke and O. Legeza, *Density matrix spectra for integrable models*, Ann. Phys. (Leipzig), **8** (1999) 153

[21] E. Ercolessi, S. Evangelisti, and F. Ravanini, *Exact entanglement entropy of the XYZ model and its sine-Gordon limit*, Phys. Lett. **A374** (2010) 2101–2105; E. Ercolessi, S. Evangelisti, F. Franchini and F. Ravanini, *Essential singularity in the Renyi entanglement entropy of the one-dimensional*

XYZ spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ chain, Phys. Rev B **83** (2011) 012402; E. Ercolessi, S. Evangelisti, F. Franchini and F. Ravanini, *Correlation length and unusual corrections to entanglement entropy*, Phys. Rev. B **85** (2012) 115428.

[22] R.J. Baxter, *Corner transfer matrices of the eight-vertex model I. Low temperature expansions and conjectured properties*, J. Stat. Phys. **15** (1976) 485–503; *Corner transfer matrices of the eight-vertex model II. The Ising model case*, J. Stat. Phys. **17** (1977) 1–14.

[23] A. De Luca and F. Franchini, *Approaching the restricted solid-on-solid critical points through entanglement: One model for many universalities*, Phys. Rev. B **87** (2103) 045118.

[24] A.A. Belavin, A.M. Polyakov and A.B. Zamolodchikov, *Infinite conformal symmetry in two-dimensional quantum field theory*, Nucl. Phys. **B241** (1984) 333–380; *Infinite conformal symmetry of critical fluctuations in two dimensions*, J. Stat. Phys. **34** (1984) 763–774.

[25] D. Bianchini, *Entanglement Entropy in Restricted Integrable Spin Chains*, M.Sc. Thesis (2013), University of Bologna; D. Bianchini and F. Ravanini, in preparation (2014).

[26] V.F.R. Jones, Planar algebras I (1999) math.QA/9909027.

[27] I.S. Gradshteyn and I.M. Ryzhik, *Tables of Integrals, Series and Products*, Seventh Edition, New York; Sydney: Academic Press, 2007.

[28] B.L. Feigin, T. Nakanishi and H. Ooguri, *The annihilating ideas of minimal models*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A7** (1992) 217–138.

[29] A.L. Owczarek and R.J. Baxter, *A class of interaction-round-a-face models and its equivalence with an ice-type model*, J. Stat. Phys. **49** (1987) 1093–1115; P.A. Pearce, *Temperley-Lieb operators and critical A-D-E lattice models*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **B4** (1990) 715–734.

[30] D. Bianchini, O. Castro-Alvaredo, B. Doyon, E. Levi and F. Ravanini, *Entanglement Entropy of Non Unitary Conformal Field Theory*, arXiv:1405.2804, J. Phys. A, to appear.